

Phantom Energy Accretion by Stringy Charged Black Hole *

M. Sharif**, G. Abbas

Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab,
Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan

We investigate the dynamical behavior of phantom energy near stringy magnetically charged black hole. For this purpose, we derive equations of motion for steady-state spherically symmetric flow of phantom energy onto the stringy magnetically charged black hole. It is found that phantom energy accreting onto black hole decreases its mass. Further, the location of critical points of accretion is explored, which yields mass to charge ratio. This ratio implies that accretion process cannot transform a black hole into an extremal black hole or a naked singularity, hence cosmic censorship hypothesis remains valid here.

PACS: 04.70.Bw, 04.70.Dy, 95.35.+d

The astronomical observations of our universe provide the evidence for the existence of unusual type of matter known as dark energy (DE), which governs expansion of the universe.^[1-4] It is estimated that two-third of our universe is made up of DE, which has large negative pressure and can drive the accelerated expansion of the universe.^[5] It exhibits some unusual properties such as negative value of equation of state (EoS) parameter and violation of energy conditions.^[6] Numerous models are proposed as candidates of DE such as vacuum energy, quintessence, phantom and Chaplygin gas. The vacuum energy (cosmological constant) is the simplest form of DE for which the EoS parameter is $\omega = -1$. The quintessence and phantom are the forms of DE for which $\omega > -1$ and $\omega < -1$, respectively.^[7-9] Saridakis^[10] discussed

*Supported by the Higher Education Commission, Islamabad, Pakistan through the Indigenous Ph.D. 5000 Fellowship Program Batch-IV.

**Email: msharif.math@pu.edu.pk

the theoretical limits on the EoS parameter in phantom cosmology, and has also investigated the phantom evolution using power-law potentials.^[11] Leon and Saridakis^[12] used the phantom dark energy model to explore the acceleration and coincidence problem in cosmology. Phantom energy violates the dominant energy condition.

A massive object surrounded by a matter can capture particles of the matter that passes within certain distance from the massive object. This phenomena is termed as accretion of matter by the massive objects. Bondi^[13] originally formulated the problem of matter accretion by the compact objects in Newtonian gravity. Michel^[14] is the pioneer who studied accretion of gas onto the Schwarzschild black hole (BH) in the relativistic physics. Sun^[15] discussed phantom energy accretion onto BH in the cyclic universe. Babichev *et al.*^[16] have shown that BH mass diminishes due to phantom accretion. Jamil *et al.*^[17] have explored the effects of phantom energy accretion onto the charged BH in 4D. They pointed out that if the mass of BH becomes smaller (due to accretion of phantom energy) than its charge, then cosmic censorship hypothesis is violated. The same conclusion was deduced by Babichev *et al.*^[18] in studying the phantom accretion onto charged BH with generalized linear EoS and Chaplygin gas EoS. In recent papers^[19,20], we have studied phantom accretion onto the Schwarzschild de-Sitter and 5D charged BHs.

The stringy BHs have been the subject of interest for the last few years, due to the fact that string theory is clearly defined theory of quantum gravity. General relativity with some new matter fields as the dilaton and axion resembles to the low energy effective theory. Kar^[21] and Dasgupta *et al.*^[22] studied energy conditions and the kinematics of the geodesic flow for the charged stringy BHs. Sharif^[23] investigated the structure of force and potential for the stringy BH by using the pseudo-Newtonian formulation. Sharif and Waheed^[24] discussed the re-scaling of energy for this BH by using the approximate symmetry approach. Radinschi and Ciobanu^[25] explored the energy momentum distribution for the charged BHs. Motivated by these studies, we investigate phantom accretion by string magnetically charged BH.

In this study, we follow the formulation of Michel^[14] to discuss the phantom accretion. It is found that phantom accretion cannot transform a BH into a naked singularity or an extremal BH, in contrast to the Reissner-Nordström (RN) BH. The gravitational units (i.e., the gravitational constant $G = 1$ and speed of light in vacuum $c = 1$) are used.

The stringy magnetically charged BH solution is given by^[26]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1 - \frac{m}{r}}{1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}} dt^2 - \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{m}{r})(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr})} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where m and Q are the mass and charge of the BH. For $Q = 0$ and $m = 2M$, we obtain the Schwarzschild solution. The black hole horizons can be found by solving $g^{11} = 0$ which leads to

$$r_{\pm} = \frac{(m^2 + Q^2) \pm \sqrt{(m^2 - Q^2)^2}}{2m}, \quad (2)$$

where r_{\pm} imply that there are two horizons $r_+ = m$ and $r_- = \frac{Q^2}{m}$ such that $r_+ > r_-$. For $m^2 = Q^2$, we obtain $r_+ = r_- \equiv m$, which is the case of an extremal charged BH. Unlike RN BH for $m^2 < Q^2$, both horizons exist and one cannot obtain a naked singularity at $r = 0$.

The energy-momentum tensor for perfect fluid reads

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} - pg_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the pressure and $u^{\mu} = (u^t, u^r, 0, 0)$ is the four-vector velocity. We mention here that u^{μ} satisfies the normalization condition, i.e., $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$. The conservation of energy-momentum tensor yields

$$\frac{r^2 u}{1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}} (\rho + p) \left(\left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}\right) \left(1 - \frac{m}{r}\right) + u^2 \right)^{1/2} = D_0, \quad (4)$$

where D_0 is an integration constant and $u^r = u < 0$ for inward flow of phantom towards the BH.

The energy flux equation can be derived by projecting the energy-momentum conservation law on the four-velocity, i.e., $u_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for which Eq.(3) leads to

$$\frac{r^2 u}{1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}} \exp(n) = -D_1, \quad (5)$$

where $D_1 > 0$ is another integration constant which is related to the energy flux and $n = \int_{\rho_{\infty}}^{\rho_h} \frac{d\rho'}{\rho' + p(\rho')}$. Also, ρ_h and ρ_{∞} are densities of the phantom energy at horizon and infinity. From Eqs.(4) and (5), we have

$$(\rho + p) \left(\left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}\right) \left(1 - \frac{m}{r}\right) + u^2 \right)^{1/2} \exp(-n) = D_2, \quad (6)$$

where $D_2 = -\frac{D_0}{D_1} = \rho_\infty + p(\rho_\infty)$.

The rate of change of BH mass due to phantom energy accretion is^[18]

$$\dot{M} = -4\pi r^2 T^r_t. \quad (7)$$

Using Eqs.(4-6) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\dot{M} = 4\pi D_1(\rho_\infty + p_\infty), \quad (8)$$

which implies that mass of BH decreases if $(\rho_\infty + p_\infty) < 0$. Thus the accretion of phantom energy onto a BH leads to decrease of the mass of BH. The phantom energy accretion only diminishes mass and does not affect the charge of BH. That is why RN BH is converted into a naked singularity by the phantom accretion and CCH is violated. However, critical accretion process mentioned below implies that CCH remains valid in this case. Since all p and ρ , violating dominant energy condition, must satisfy this equation, it holds in general. We would like to mention here that the above relation is same for all 4D spherically symmetric BHs.

Here, we locate such points at which flow speed is equal to the speed of sound during accretion. The fluid falls onto the BH with monotonically increasing velocity along the particle trajectories. We discuss the critical accretion. The conservation of mass flux, $\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0$, gives

$$\frac{\rho u r^2}{1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}} = k, \quad (9)$$

where k is an integration constant. It is obvious that $k < 0$ as $u < 0$ and all the other quantities are positive. Using Eqs.(4) and (9), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\rho + p}{\rho}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr}\right)\left(1 - \frac{m}{r} + u^2\right) = k_1, \quad (10)$$

where $k_1 = (\frac{C_0}{k})^2$ is a positive constant. Differentiating Eqs.(9) and (10) and eliminating $d\rho$, we have

$$\frac{dr}{r} \left(2V^2 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{M}{r} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{mr})}{(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr})(1 - \frac{m}{r} + u^2)}\right) + \frac{du}{u} \left(V^2 - \frac{u^2}{(1 - \frac{Q^2}{mr})(1 - \frac{m}{r} + u^2)}\right) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where $V^2 = \frac{dn(\rho+p)}{dn\rho} - 1$. The critical points are found by taking both the factors inside the brackets equal to zero. Thus we obtain

$$u_c^2 = \frac{1}{4mr_c^2} r_c(m^2 + Q^2) - 2mQ^2, \quad (12)$$

$$V_c^2 = \frac{r_c(m^2 + Q^2) - 2mQ^2}{5mr^2 - 3r_c(Q^2 + m^2) + 2Q^2m}. \quad (13)$$

We find that the solutions of the above equations are obtained if $u_c^2 > 0$ and $V_c^2 > 0$ implying that

$$r_c(m^2 + Q^2) - 2mQ^2 > 0, \quad 5mr^2 - 3r_c(Q^2 + m^2) + 2Q^2m > 0. \quad (14)$$

The subscript c is used to represent a quantity at a point where speed of flow is equal to the speed of sound, such a point is called a critical point. The second one in Eq.(14) has the solution

$$r_{c\pm} = 3(m^2 + Q^2) \pm \sqrt{(9m^4 - 22m^2Q^2 + 9Q^4)}, \quad (15)$$

which will be real if

$$\frac{m^2}{Q^2} \geq \frac{1}{9}(11 + 2\sqrt{10}) \approx 1.925. \quad (16)$$

The location of the critical points near the BH can be determined by the roots $r_{c\pm}$. For the solution about critical point, we insert the value of $r_{c\pm}$ in the first one of Eq.(14) and obtain a unique inequality

$$\frac{m^2}{Q^2} > \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 2.618. \quad (17)$$

Since $r_{c\pm}$ remain real for the above ratio, accretion is possible through $r_{c\pm}$ as long as the above inequality is satisfied.

In summary, there always exist two horizons for stringy magnetically charged BH independent of the m^2 to Q^2 ratio of BH. In other words, whatever the mass to charge ratio would be, a stringy magnetically charged BH cannot be converted into a naked singularity. We would like to mention that for $\frac{Q^2}{m^2} > 1$, the horizons for the RN BH disappear but there does not exist such ratio in the present case for which horizons disappear. The critical accretion analysis implies that corresponding to two horizons there exist two

values of $r_{c\pm}$ which can play the role of critical points if the mass and charge of BH satisfy $\frac{m^2}{Q^2} > \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 2.618$. It is concluded that phantom accretion decreases the mass of BH and converts it to an extremal BH if $m^2 = Q^2$. However, during the accretion, we have $\frac{m^2}{Q^2} > \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 2.618$. Thus unlike RN BH, the stringy magnetically charged BH cannot be transformed to an extremal charged BH or a naked singularity and CCH remains valid here.

References

- [1] Perlmutter S et al 1997 *Astrophys. J.* **483** 565 DOI: 10.1086/AstrophysJ.483.565
- [2] Perlmutter S et al 1998 *Nature* **391** 51 DOI: 10.1038/Nature.391.51
- [3] Perlmutter S et al 1999 *Astrophys. J.* **517** 565 DOI: 10.1086/AstrophysJ.517.565
- [4] Riess A G et al 1998 *Astron. J.* **116** 1009 DOI: 10.1086/AstronJ.116.1009
- [5] Cai R and Wang A 2005 *JCAP* **03** 002 DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2005/03/002
- [6] Bennett C L et al 2003 *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** 1 DOI: 10.1086/AstronJ.148.1
- [7] Frieman J A et al 1995 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** 2077 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.2077
- [8] Coble K, Dodelson S and Frieman J A 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **55** 1851 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1851
- [9] Hinshaw G et al 2009 *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **180** 225 DOI: 10.1088/0067-0049/180/2/225
- [10] Saridakis E N 2009 *Phys. Lett. B* **676** 7 DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.04.065
- [11] Saridakis E N 2009 *Nucl. Phys. B* **819** 116 DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.01.005

- [12] Leon G and Saridakis E N 2010 *Phys. Lett. B* **693** 1 DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.016
- [13] Bondi H 1952 *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **112** 195 DOI: 1952MNRAS.112.195V
- [14] Michel F C 1972 *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **15** 153 DOI: 10.1007/BF00649949
- [15] Sun C Y 2008 *Phys. Rev. D* **78** 064060 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.78.064060
- [16] Babichev E, Dokuchaev V and Eroshenko Y 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 021102 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.021102
- [17] Jamil M, Rashid M and Qadir A 2008 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** 325 DOI: 10.1140/EurPhysJ.C58.325
- [18] Babichev E, Dokuchaev V and Eroshenko Y 2011 *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **112** 784 DOI: 10.1134/S1063776111040157
- [19] Sharif M and Abbas G 2011 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26** 1731 DOI: 10.1142/S0217732311036218
- [20] Sharif M and Abbas G 2011 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **28** 090402 DOI: 10.1088/0256-307/28/9/090402
- [21] Kar S 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **55** 4872 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.4872
- [22] Dasgupta A, Nandan A and Kar S 2009 *Phys. Rev. D* **79** 124004 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124004
- [23] Sharif M 2011 *Romanian J. Phys.* **56** 1035
- [24] Sharif M and Waheed S 2010 *Can. J. Phys.* **88** 833 DOI: 10.1139/P10-072
- [25] Radinschi I and Ciobanu B 2007 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **895** 329 DOI: 10.1063/1.2790351
- [26] Garfinkle D, Horowitz G T and Strominger A 1991 *Phys. Rev. D* **43** 3140 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.43.3140